arXiv:1406.4695v4 [hep-th] 24 Nov 2014

A systematic study of finite field dependent BRST-BV transformations in $Sp(2)$ extended field-antifield formalism

Igor A. Batalin[(a)1] , Klaus Bering[(b)2] , Peter M. Lavrov[(c,d)3] , Igor V. Tyutin[(a)4]

[(a)] *P.N. Lebedev Physical Institute, Leninsky Prospect 53, 119 991 Moscow, Russia*

[(b)] *Masaryk University, Faculty of Science, Kotlarska 2, 611 37 Brno, Czech Republic*

[(c)] *Tomsk State Pedagogical University, Kievskaya St. 60, 634061 Tomsk, Russia*

[(d)] *National Research Tomsk State University, Lenin Av. 36, 634050 Tomsk, Russia*

Abstract

In the framework of $Sp(2)$ extended Lagrangian field-antifield BV formalism we study systematically the role of finite field-dependent BRST-BV transformations. We have proved that the Jacobian of a finite BRST-BV transformation is capable of generating arbitrary finite change of the gauge-fixing function in the path integral.

25.07.2014

Keywords: $Sp(2)$ extended field-antifield BV formalism, finite field dependent BRST-BV transformations

[1]E-mail: batalin@lpi.ru
[2]E-mail:bering@physics.muni.cz
[3]E-mail: lavrov@tspu.edu.ru
[4]E-mail: tyutin@lpi.ru

1 INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

In the previous articles [1, 2, 3] systematic studies of the role of finite field dependent BRST transformations have been conducted in various types of quantization formalisms. Firstly, this was done in the framework of the standard generalized Hamiltonian BFV formalism [1], as well as in its $Sp(2)$ extended counterpart [3]. Secondly, a similar study was performed in the framework of the standard Lagrangian field-antifield BV formalism [2]. The main result in all cases is that the Jacobian of a finite field dependent BRST transformation is capable of reproducing an arbitrary finite change of the gauge-fixing function in the corresponding path integral.

In the present article, we will develop a similar study in the $Sp(2)$ extended Lagrangian field-antifield formalism [4, 5, 6] (see also an alternative approach to the problem of BRST-antiBRST invariant quantization of general gauge theories in Lagrangian formalism [7]). The main new feature, in the situation at hand, is that the algebra of BRST-BV transformations is open in the antifield sector, while it is Abelian in the sector of fields. It is therefore in general impossible to have integrable partial differential equations in the $Sp(2)$ extended BRST parameters for the total set of field-antifield variables. However, as the parameters are only allowed to depend on fields, the corresponding partial differential equations are integrable. At the same time, for the total set of variables, one can formulate the Lie equations in terms of a Bosonic rescaling variable for the Fermionic BRST parameters. Then, when considering the Jacobian of the transformation, we can split the Jacobian into two pieces: the first one is calculated for constant BRST parameters; the second piece comes from the field dependence of the BRST parameters. The second piece is just responsible for generating the required change of the gauge-fixing function in the path integral. It appears that integrable Lie equations in the field sector are consistent with the compensation equation, which determines the necessary field dependence for the BRST parameters.

2 STANDARD FORMULATION AND $Sp(2)$ DIFFERENTIAL FOR FIELDS

Let

$$\Phi^A,\ \pi^{Aa},\ \Phi^*_{Aa},\ \Phi^{**}_A \tag{2.1}$$

be a set of variables necessary to describe an arbitrary Lagrangian gauge field theory within the framework of $Sp(2)$ extended field-antifield formalism [4, 5, 6]. Here in (2.1) a capital Latin index "A", "B", ..., from the beginning of the alphabet, should be understood in the sense of DeWitt's condensed notation [8] as a condensed index of fields and antifields, while a small Latin index "a", "b", ..., from the beginning of the alphabet, is a vector index of the $Sp(2)$

group. The variables (2.1) have Grassmann parities

$$\varepsilon_A, \;\; \varepsilon_A + 1, \;\; \varepsilon_A + 1, \;\; \varepsilon_A, \tag{2.2}$$

respectively. We will denote partial derivatives with respect to the variables (2.1) as

$$\partial_A, \; \partial_{Aa}, \; \partial^{Aa}, \; \partial^A. \tag{2.3}$$

In terms of the variables (2.1), the path integral for the partition function reads

$$Z_F = \int D\Phi D\pi D\Phi^* D\Phi^{**} D\lambda \exp\{(i/\hbar)W_F\}, \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$W_F = W + \Phi^*_{Aa}\pi^{Aa} + (\Phi^{**}_A - F\overleftarrow{\partial}_A)\lambda^A + (1/2)F\overleftarrow{\partial}_A\pi^{Aa}\overleftarrow{\partial}_B\pi^{Bb}\varepsilon_{ba}, \tag{2.5}$$

and where $\varepsilon_{ab} = -\varepsilon_{ba}$ is the constant $Sp(2)$ invariant tensor, while $\varepsilon^{ab} = -\varepsilon^{ba}$ stands for its inverse. In (2.4) we have also integrated over dynamically passive Lagrange multipliers λ^A with Grassmann parity ε_A. The gauge-fixing function $F(\Phi)$ in (2.5) is a Boson. The quantum master action $W = W(\Phi, \Phi^*, \Phi^{**})$ satisfies the $Sp(2)$ extended quantum master equation,

$$(\Delta^a + (i/\hbar)V^a)\exp\{(i/\hbar)W\} = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\Delta^a = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A}\partial_A\,\partial^{Aa}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$V^a = \varepsilon^{ab}\,\Phi^*_{Ab}\,\partial^A. \tag{2.8}$$

Fermionic operators (2.7), (2.8) satisfy the algebra[5]

$$[\Delta^a, \Delta^b] = \Delta^{\{a}\Delta^{b\}} = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$[V^a, V^b] = V^{\{a}V^{b\}} = 0, \tag{2.10}$$

$$[\Delta^{\{a}, V^{b\}}] = 0. \tag{2.11}$$

Here and below $...\{a...b\}...$ means symmetrization in a and b indices. The quantum master equation (2.6) can equivalently be rewritten in its quadratic form, which is convenient for $\hbar$ series expansion,

$$(1/2)(W, W)^a + V^a W = i\hbar\Delta^a W, \tag{2.12}$$

where in the l. h. s., we have denoted the $Sp(2)$ extended antibracket

$$(F, G)^a = F(\overleftarrow{\partial}_A\partial^{Aa} - \overleftarrow{\partial}^{Aa}\partial_A)G = -(G, F)^a(-1)^{(\varepsilon(F)+1)(\varepsilon(G)+1)}. \tag{2.13}$$

[5]Here $[,]$ means the supercommutator, which is defined for any quantities G, H as $[G, H] = GH - (-1)^{\varepsilon(G)\varepsilon(H)}HG$.

The antibracket (2.13) is Grassmann odd,

$$\varepsilon((F,G)^a) = \varepsilon(F) + \varepsilon(G) + 1; \tag{2.14}$$

it satisfies the Leibniz rule,

$$(F, GH)^a = (F,G)^a H + G(F,H)^a (-1)^{(\varepsilon(F)+1)\varepsilon(G)}; \tag{2.15}$$

it satisfies the Jacobi identity,

$$((F,G)^{\{a}, H)^{b\}} (-1)^{(\varepsilon(F)+1)(\varepsilon(H)+1)} + \text{cycle}(F,G,H) = 0; \tag{2.16}$$

and it is differentiated by both the operators (2.7) and (2.8),

$$\Delta^{\{a}(F,G)^{b\}} = (\Delta^{\{a}F, G)^{b\}} - (F, \Delta^{\{a}G)^{b\}} (-1)^{\varepsilon(F)}, \tag{2.17}$$

$$V^{\{a}(F,G)^{b\}} = (V^{\{a}F, G)^{b\}} - (F, V^{\{a}G)^{b\}} (-1)^{\varepsilon(F)}. \tag{2.18}$$

Now let us make the essential observation that the gauge-fixed quantum action can be rewritten in the following equivalent form

$$W_F = W + \Phi^*_{Aa}\pi^{Aa} + \Phi^{**}_A \lambda^A + (1/2) F \overleftarrow{d^a d^b} \varepsilon_{ba}, \tag{2.19}$$

where the (right) Fermionic $Sp(2)$ differential is defined by

$$\overleftarrow{d^a} = \overleftarrow{\partial}_A \pi^{Aa} - \overleftarrow{\partial}_{Ab} \lambda^A \varepsilon^{ba}, \tag{2.20}$$

$$[\overleftarrow{d^a}, d^b] = \overleftarrow{d^{\{a} d^{b\}}} = 0, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\overleftarrow{d^a d^b d^c} = 0. \tag{2.22}$$

Its counterpart acting from the left reads

$$d^a = (-1)^{\varepsilon_A + 1} \pi^{Aa} \partial_A + (-1)^{\varepsilon_A} \lambda^A \varepsilon^{ab} \partial_{Ab}, \tag{2.23}$$

$$[d^a, d^b] = d^{\{a} d^{b\}} = 0, \quad d^a d^b d^c = 0, \tag{2.24}$$

$$G \overleftarrow{d^a} \mu_a = \mu_a d^a G, \quad \varepsilon(\mu_a) = 1, \tag{2.25}$$

where G is a function of all variables. It is a remarkable feature of (2.19) that the dependence on the gauge-fixing function F is here accumulated in the fourth term alone, which is similar to what happens in the corresponding Hamiltonian formulation [3].

3 $Sp(2)$ EXTENDED BRST-BV GENERATORS AND THEIR ALGEBRA

Now let us define the (left) $Sp(2)$ extended BRST-BV generators by

$$\mathfrak{D}^a = d^a + (W \overleftarrow{\partial}_A) \partial^{Aa} + \varepsilon^{ab} \Phi^*_{Ab} \partial^A. \tag{3.1}$$

Due to the quantum master equation (2.12), it follows from (3.1) that

$$\mathfrak{D}^a W_F = i\hbar \Delta^a W_F, \tag{3.2}$$

and that the generators (3.1) satisfy the algebra

$$[\mathfrak{D}^a, \mathfrak{D}^b] = (D^{\{a} W \overleftarrow{\partial}_A) \partial^{Ab\}} + W \overleftarrow{\partial^{A\{a}} (\partial_A W \overleftarrow{\partial}_B) \partial^{Bb\}}, \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$D^a = d^a + i\hbar \Delta^a, \tag{3.4}$$

$$[D^a, D^b] = D^{\{a} D^{b\}} = 0, \quad [D^a, \partial_A] = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

The (right) $Sp(2)$ extended BRST-BV generators are written as

$$\overleftarrow{\mathfrak{D}^a} = \overleftarrow{d^a} + \overleftarrow{\partial}^{Aa} (\partial_A W) + \overleftarrow{\partial}^A \Phi^*_{Ab} \varepsilon^{ba} (-1)^{\varepsilon_A}, \tag{3.6}$$

so that the relation

$$G \overleftarrow{\mathfrak{D}^a} \mu_a = \mu_a \mathfrak{D}^a G, \qquad \varepsilon(\mu_a) = 1 \tag{3.7}$$

holds for a function G of all variables.

Thus, we conclude from (3.3) that in the total field-antifield space, the algebra is open, while it is Abelian in the field sector $\{\Phi^A, \pi^{Aa}\}$.

4 LIE EQUATIONS AND FINITE $Sp(2)$ EXTENDED BRST-BV TRANSFORMATIONS

Let us denote the set of field-antifield variables (2.1) by z^i. We next consider the Lie equation for a finite BRST-BV transformation, restricted to a one-parameter subgroup of t-rescaling of the Fermionic parameter $\mu_a, \mu_a \to t\mu_a$, where t is a Bosonic parameter,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \overline{z}^i(z, t\mu) = \mu_a \overline{\mathfrak{D}^a z^i} = \overline{z^i \overleftarrow{\mathfrak{D}^a}} \mu_a, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\overline{z}^i(t = 0) = z^i. \tag{4.2}$$

For constant μ_a, the formal solution to that equations reads

$$\overline{z}^i = \exp\{t\mu_a \mathfrak{D}^a\} z^i = z^i \exp\{t \overleftarrow{\mathfrak{D}^a} \mu_a\}. \tag{4.3}$$

It is also natural to extend the solution (4.3) to the case of parameters μ_a being dependent of the initial data (4.2), $\mu_a = \mu_a(z)$, as follows: all μ_a should stand to the left (right) of all

$\mathfrak{D}^a$ in the first (second) equality in (4.3). We will mean that extension when considering the Jacobian and the compensation equation in Sec. 5.

As the algebra (3.3) is open in the total field-antifield space, it is impossible to deduce integrable partial differential equations in the parameters μ_a themselves for all the variables z^i. However, if one considers only the field sector $z^\alpha = \{\Phi^A, \pi^{Aa}\}$, then the integrable partial differential equations

$$\partial^a \overline{z}^\alpha(z, t\mu) = t\overline{d^a z^\alpha}, \quad \partial^a = \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_a}, \tag{4.4}$$

directly imply the corresponding equations (4.1) in the field sector z^α. These equations (4.4) are integrable due to (2.24). When multiplied by μ_a from the left, equations (4.4) in the z^α sector yield exactly (4.1), cf. eq. (3.1). A version of (4.4) using right differentials reads

$$\overline{z}^\alpha(z, t\mu)\overleftarrow{\partial}^a = \overline{z^\alpha \overleftarrow{d^a}} t. \tag{4.5}$$

For further convenience, let us also write down a counterpart to (4.5) for inverse transformation,

$$z^\alpha(\overline{z}, t\mu)\overleftarrow{\partial}^a = -z^\alpha \overleftarrow{d^a} t. \tag{4.6}$$

It follows from (3.2) and (4.1) that

$$(d/dt)\overline{W_F} = \mu_a i\hbar \overline{\Delta}^a \, \overline{W_F}. \tag{4.7}$$

5 JACOBIAN AND COMPENSATION EQUATION

Let again z^i be the set (2.1) of field-antifield variables. Now we would like to study the general structure of the following Jacobian

$$\ln J = \mathrm{sTr}\, \ln [(\overline{z}^i \overleftarrow{\partial}_k)], \tag{5.1}$$

where $\overline{z}^i$ is defined in (4.1) with parameters μ_a depending on the field variables $z^\alpha = \{\Phi^A, \pi^{Aa}\}$ and λ^A. In general, we have

$$\ln J = \mathrm{sTr}\, \ln [(\overline{z}^i(z, t\mu)\overleftarrow{\partial}_k)] + \mathrm{sTr}\, \ln [\delta^\alpha_\beta - (z^\alpha(\overline{z}, t\mu)\overleftarrow{\partial}^a)(\mu_a \overleftarrow{\partial}_\beta)]. \tag{5.2}$$

Here on the r. h. s., in the first term, the right $\overleftarrow{\partial}_k$ derivative is taken for constant μ, while the second term is just an effect of μ being z^α dependent. Then, by using (4.1), (4.6) we get

$$(d/dt)\ln J = \mu_a \overline{\Delta^a} \, \overline{W_F} - \mathrm{tr}\, [(1 + t\kappa)^{-1}\kappa], \tag{5.3}$$

where

$$\kappa^b_a = (\mu_a \overleftarrow{d}^b), \tag{5.4}$$

and where we have used that the t-derivative of the second term in (5.2) equals

$$G^{\alpha}_{\beta}(z^{\beta}\overleftarrow{d}^{\,a})(\mu_a\overleftarrow{\partial}_{\alpha})(-1)^{\varepsilon_\alpha}, \tag{5.5}$$

with G^{α}_{β} satisfying the equation

$$G^{\alpha}_{\gamma} + t(z^{\alpha}\overleftarrow{d}^{\,a})(\mu_a\overleftarrow{\partial}_{\beta})G^{\beta}_{\gamma} = \delta^{\alpha}_{\gamma}. \tag{5.6}$$

It follows from (5.6) that

$$(\mu_a\overleftarrow{\partial}_{\alpha})G^{\alpha}_{\beta} = [(1+t\kappa)^{-1}]^{b}_{a}\,(\mu_b\overleftarrow{\partial}_{\beta}). \tag{5.7}$$

By substituting (5.7) into (5.5), we get for the latter exactly the second term in (5.3). Now, it follows from (4.7) and (5.3) that

$$(d/dt)((i/\hbar)\overline{W_F} + \ln J) = -\operatorname{tr}[(1+t\kappa)^{-1}\kappa], \tag{5.8}$$

so that

$$((i/\hbar)\overline{W_F} + \ln J)_{t=1} = (i/\hbar)W_F - \operatorname{tr}\ln(1+\kappa). \tag{5.9}$$

In order to change the gauge-fixing function

$$F \to F_1 = F + \delta F \tag{5.10}$$

with a finite amount δF, we must have

$$-\operatorname{tr}\ln(1+\kappa) = (i/\hbar)(1/2)\delta F\overleftarrow{d^a d^b}\varepsilon_{ba} = -\operatorname{tr} x, \tag{5.11}$$

where

$$x^{b}_{a} = -(i/\hbar)(1/2)\varepsilon_{ac}\delta F\overleftarrow{d^c d^b}. \tag{5.12}$$

We must also require the following condition to hold

$$\ln(1+\kappa) = x, \tag{5.13}$$

so that

$$\kappa = \exp(x) - 1, \tag{5.14}$$

or explicitly

$$\mu_a\overleftarrow{d}^{\,b} = [\exp(x) - 1]^{b}_{a}. \tag{5.15}$$

We call (5.15) "a compensation equation". This equation determines the necessary dependence of the parameters μ_a on fields. There is an obvious explicit solution to that equation, namely

$$\mu_a = -(i/\hbar)[f(x)]^{b}_{a}(1/2)\varepsilon_{bc}\delta F\overleftarrow{d}^{\,c}, \tag{5.16}$$

where

$$f(x) = (\exp(x) - 1)\, x^{-1}. \tag{5.17}$$

If one chooses the parameters μ_a in the form (5.16), then it follows due to (5.9) and (5.11), that

$$Z_{F_1} = Z_F, \tag{5.18}$$

so that the partition function is independent of the gauge-fixing F.

6 $Sp(2)$ EXTENDED MODIFIED WARD IDENTITIES

Let us define the generating functional for Green's functions by adding the action of external sources to the gauge-fixed quantum action W_F (2.5) or (2.19),

$$\begin{aligned} Z_F(\zeta, \zeta^*, \zeta^{**}) \;\; = \;\; & \int D\Phi D\pi D\Phi^* D\Phi^{**} D\lambda \exp\{(i/\hbar)[W_F + \zeta_\alpha z^\alpha + \\ & + \zeta^*_{\alpha a} z^\alpha \overleftarrow{d^a} + \zeta^{**}_\alpha z^\alpha \overleftarrow{d^a d^b} (1/2)\varepsilon_{ba}]\}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

where, again, $z^\alpha = \{\Phi^A, \pi^{Aa}\}$ is the field sector.

Let us make in (6.1) an infinitesimal change with constant μ_a,

$$\delta z^i = z^i \overleftarrow{\mathfrak{D}^a} \mu_a, \quad \mu_a \to 0, \tag{6.2}$$

of the total set z^i of the field-antifield variables (2.1). We get then the standard Ward Identities

$$(\zeta_\alpha \partial^{\alpha a} + \varepsilon^{ab} \zeta^*_{\alpha b} \partial^\alpha) Z_F = 0, \tag{6.3}$$

where ∂^α and $\partial^{\alpha a}$ is the partial derivative with respect to ζ^{**}_α and $\zeta^*_{\alpha a}$, respectively.

Now let us perform in (6.1) a finite BRST-BV transformation defined by (4.1) and (4.2), with arbitrary $\mu_a(z)$; we get then what we call "a modified Ward identity",

$$\begin{aligned} & < \exp\{(i/\hbar)[\zeta_\alpha (\overline{z} - z)^\alpha + \zeta^*_{\alpha a} (\overline{z^\alpha \overleftarrow{d^a}} - z^\alpha \overleftarrow{d^a}) + \zeta^{**}_\alpha (\overline{z^\alpha \overleftarrow{d^a d^b}} - z^\alpha \overleftarrow{d^a d^b}) (1/2)\varepsilon_{ba}] - \\ & - \mathrm{tr}\, \ln (\delta^b_a + \mu_a \overleftarrow{d^b})\} >_{F;\zeta,\zeta^*,\zeta^{**}} = 1, \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

where we have defined the source-dependent quantum mean value

$$\begin{aligned} & < (\ldots) >_{F;\zeta,\zeta^*,\zeta^{**}} = [Z_F(\zeta, \zeta^*, \zeta^{**})]^{-1} \int D\Phi D\pi D\Phi^* D\Phi^{**} D\lambda (\ldots) \exp\{(i/\hbar)[W_F + \\ & + \zeta_\alpha z^\alpha + \zeta^*_{\alpha a} z^\alpha \overleftarrow{d^a} + \zeta^{**}_\alpha z^\alpha \overleftarrow{d^a d^b} (1/2)\varepsilon_{ba}]\}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

Now, let us choose in (6.4) the parameters μ_a to coincide with the solution (5.16) to the compensation equation with the inverse sign of δF; then we get from (6.4) the relation generalizing the one (5.18) to the presence of external sources.

$$Z_{F_1} = Z_F < \exp\{(i/\hbar)[\ldots]\} >_{F;\zeta,\zeta^*,\zeta^{**}}, \tag{6.6}$$

where $[\ldots]$ means the expression in the square brackets in the exponential on the l. h. s. of (6.4).

Acknowledgments

K.B. would like to thank K.P. Zybin and the Lebedev Physics Institute for warm hospitality. The work of I.A.B. is supported in part by the RFBR grants 14-01-00489 and 14-02-01171. The work of K.B. is supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic (GACR) under the grant P201/12/G028. The work of P.M.L. is partially supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of Russian Federation, grant TSPU-122, by the Presidential grant 88.2014.2 for LRSS and by the RFBR grant 13-02-90430-Ukr. The work of I.V.T. is partially supported by the RFBR grant 14-02-01171.

References

[1] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, *A systematic study of finite BRST-BFV transformations in generalized Hamiltonian formalism*, arXiv:1404.4154[hep-th].

[2] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, *A systematic study of finite BRST-BV transformations in field-antifield formalism*, arXiv:1405.2621[hep-th].

[3] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, *A systematic study of finite BRST-BFV transformations in $Sp(2)$ - extended generalized Hamiltonian formalism*, arXiv:1405.7218[hep-th].

[4] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, *Covariant quantization of gauge theories in the framework of extended BRST symmetry*, J. Math. Phys. 31 (1990) 1487.

[5] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, *An Sp(2) covariant quantization of gauge theories with linearly dependent generators*, J. Math. Phys. 32 (1991) 532.

[6] I.A. Batalin, P.M. Lavrov and I.V. Tyutin, *Remarks on the Sp(2) covariant Lagrangian quantization of gauge theories*, J. Math. Phys. 32 (1991) 2513.

[7] C.M. Hull, *The BRST and anti-BRST quantization of general gauge theories*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A5 (1990) 1871.

[8] B.S. De Witt, *Dynamical theory of groups and fields*, (Gordon and Breach, 1965).